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New Twist to The Application of the Substantial Relationship Test in Derivative Actions

*Beachcomber Management Crystal Cove, LLC v. Superior Court*  
(2017) 13 Cal. App. 5th 1105
Appealing a Disqualification Order

A Decision in Search of a Problem?

URS Corp. V. Atkinson/Walsh Joint-Venture (2017) 15 Cal. App. 5th 872
Must an Electronic Fee Agreement Require "Wet-Ink" Signatures?

*Leighton v. Forster (2017) 8 Cal. App. 5th 467*
Suing a Former Client For Pursuing Litigation Instead of Binding Arbitration

15 Cal. App. 5th 749
A Painful Illustration of the Intersection Between the Duty of Confidentiality and the Duty of Candor

City of San Diego v. San Diegans For Open Government (2016) 3 Cal. App. 5th 568
How Not to Respond to an Interpleader Action that Determines the Value and Amount of an Attorney's Lien

The End of An Attorney-Client Relationship for Purposes of the Continuous Representation Tolling Provision under C.C.P. §340.6

May Not Coincide With the Requirements of CRPC 3-700(A)


Malicious Prosecution and the "Interim Adverse Judgment" Rule

Parrish v. Latham & Watkins (2017) 3 Cal. 5th 767
Application of the "Fair and True Report" Privilege In Deciding Anti-SLAPP Motions

Argentieri v. Zuckerberg (2017) 8 Cal. App. 5th 768
Has the "State Fund" Rule Been Extended Beyond Its Intended Purpose?

McDermott Will & Emery LLP v. Superior Court
(2017) 10 Cal. App. 5th 1083
The "Heartland" of the Attorney-Client Privilege

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2016) 2 Cal. 5th 282

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal. App. 5th 1264
The Attorney-Client Privilege as Between Predecessor and Successor Trustees

9 Cal. App. 5th 1184
Waiver and the "Common Interest" Doctrine in Using Public Relations Firms to Pursue Litigation Strategies

Behunin v. Superior Court (2017) 9 Cal. App. 5th 833
Federal Pre-Eemption of the Duty of Confidentiality

Wadler v. Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (N.D. CA 2016)
212 F. Supp. 3d 829
The Hazards of "Catfishing"

Los Angeles County Bar Association Formal Opinion 529
Special Duties of Prosecutors and Civil Practitioners

Amended Rule 5-110 (11/2/17)
Nondiscrimination in the Practice of Law

- ABA Model Rule 8.4(g)
- California Proposed Rule 8.4.1
De-Unifying the Integrated Bar and What it Means to You
What to Look For in 2018

- New Rules of Professional Conduct
- Artificially Intelligent Legal Services?
- Antitrust and Other Challenges to State Bar Regulation of LegalZoom, Avvo and Rocket Lawyer
- Will Lawyers be Allowed to Accept Bitcoin?
- Who Owns Unfinished Business of a Defunct Law Firm?
- Will the Regulation of Lawyer Advertising Be Made Compatible with Emerging Technologies and Social Media?